



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,270	01/23/2006	Hirobumi Furihata	074273-0242	5886
23428 7590 01/12/2010 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007				
EXAMINER				
LAM, VINH TANG				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2629				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/12/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/561,270

Applicant(s)

FURIHATA ET AL.

Examiner

VINH T. LAM

Art Unit

2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4, 5 and 13-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-12 and 18-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims **6** and **18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding Claim **6**, the specification as originally filed has failed to provide support for the recitation of "...wherein said display memory receives said line data from said latch at a same moment in time ...". The specification does not reasonably convey one skill in the art how to make or use applicant claimed invention for "...wherein said display memory receives said line data from said latch at a same moment in time ...".

Regarding Claim **18**, the specification as originally filed has failed to provide support for the recitation of "...said memory controller being directly connected to a processor...". The specification does not reasonably convey one skill in the art how to make or use applicant claimed invention for "...said memory controller being directly connected to a processor...".

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the **second paragraph** of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims **1** and **6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation of Claim **1** "...a set of data bits of said first bitmap data **making** are transferred at the same time..." is not clear.

What does "...a set of data bits of said first bitmap data **making** are transferred at the same time..." mean?

How and what (e.g. process/feature) is **making** "...a set of data bits of said first bitmap data..."?

Does applicant mean "...a set of data bits of said first bitmap data **making** are transferred at the same time..." as a process that the graphic engine is **converting** externally received image data into the first bitmap data?

To further advance prosecution, the Examiner interprets "...a set of data bits of said first bitmap data **making** are transferred at the same time..." as a process that the graphic engine is **converting** externally received image data into the first bitmap data.

The limitation of Claim **6** "...wherein said display memory receives said line data from said latch **at a same moment in time** ..." is not clear.

What does "...wherein said display memory receives said line data from said latch at a same moment in time ..." mean?

Does applicant mean that "...a latch receiving **said line data** from said work memory... and said display memory receives **said line data** from said latch at a same moment in time..."?. This is impossible because the **same "said line data"** would not be processed simultaneously, but sequentially as disclosed in the Specification and Drawings.

The above limitation is not only rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd ¶ but also invoked 35 U.S.C. 112 1st ¶ since there is no disclosure of "...wherein said display memory receives **said line data** from said latch at a same moment in time ..." in the originally filed specification.

To further advance prosecution, the Examiner interprets "...wherein said display memory receives **said line data** from said latch at a same moment in time ..." as a "...wherein said display memory receives another line data from said latch at a same moment in time ...".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) a patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims **1-3, 7-8, and 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Chadha (US PGPub. No. 2003/0184552)** in view of **Adachi (US Patent No. US 5636336)**.

Regarding Claim 1, (Currently Amended) **Chadha** teaches a controller/driver comprising:

a work memory (i.e. **602**, **[0038]**, FIG. 6);

a graphic engine (i.e. **606**, **[0038]**, FIG. 6) converting externally received image data (i.e. **614**, **[0038]**, FIG. 6) into first bitmap data (i.e. **616**, **[0038]**, FIG. 6), and storing said first bitmap data into said work memory (**[0038]**, FIG. 6);

a display memory (i.e. **604**, **[0038]**, **[0039]**, FIG. 6) receiving and storing second bitmap data (i.e. **620**, **[0039]**, FIG. 6) developed from said first bitmap data stored in said work memory (**[0038]**, **[0039]**, FIG. 6); and

a driver circuit (i.e. **108** obviously comprising C columns and R rows, **[0040]**, FIG. 6) which receives said second bitmap data from said display memory, and drives, a display panel (i.e. **108**, **[0040]**, FIG. 6) in response to said second bitmap data received from said display memory (**[0040]**, FIG. 6),

wherein said data transfer of said first bitmap data from said work memory to said display memory is performed such that a set of data bits (i.e. obviously the same as **CxRxB bytes** received at **604**, **[0040]**, FIG. 6) of said first bitmap data making are transferred at the same time (i.e. **multi-buffer scheme, very little time**, **[0037]**; i.e. **602 < 604, R/r generation to create a complete display**, **[0038]**, FIG. 6 which implicitly implied that data bits are transferred synchronously),

wherein said first bitmap data includes a plurality of line data each associated with a line of pixels (i.e. obviously the same as **CxRxB bytes** received at **604**, **[0040]**, FIG. **6**) of an image represented by said second bitmap data to be displayed on said display panel (**[0040]**, FIG. **6**), and

wherein said data transfer of said first bitmap data from said work memory to said display memory is performed such that each of said line data (i.e. obviously the same as **CxRxB bytes** received at **604**, **[0040]**, FIG. **6**) is transferred at the same time from said work memory to said display memory (i.e. **multi-buffer scheme, very little time**, **[0037]**; i.e. **602 < 604, R/r generation to create a complete display**, **[0038]**, FIG. **6** which implicitly implied that data bits are transferred synchronously).

However, **Chadha** does not teach connections among the graphic engine, work memory, display memory, and driver circuit.

In the same field of endeavor, **Adachi** teaches

wherein said work memory (i.e. **48** of graphic data processing means **22**; FIG. **3**) has first (i.e. connection between graphic data processing means **22** and instruction detection section **34**; Col. **6**, Ln. **34-39**, FIG. **3**) and second (i.e. connection between graphic data processing means **22** and display **24**; Col. **6**, Ln. **22-24**, FIG. **3**) separate ports, said first port being connected to said graphic engine (Col. **7**, Ln. **17-20**, FIG. **3**),

wherein said display memory (i.e. **60**; Col. **7**, Ln. **17-20**, FIG. **3**) has third and fourth separate ports, said third port being connected to said second port, and said fourth port being connected to said driver circuit (i.e. display screen **62** obviously includes driver circuit; Col. **7**, Ln. **17-20**, FIG. **3**).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine **Chadha** teaching of a work memory, a graphic engine, a display memory, and a driver circuit with **Adachi** teaching of connections among the graphic engine, work memory, display memory, and driver circuit *in order to benefit of* reducing power consumption and latency by having a controller/driver comprising of the graphic engine, work memory, display memory, driver circuit, and of connections among the graphic engine, work memory, display memory, and driver circuit.

Regarding Claim 2, (Original) the controller/driver according to claim 1, wherein **Chadha** teaches said image data is described in a vector format (e.g. XML image file; Col. 2, [0033], FIG. 3).

Regarding Claim 3, (Previously Presented) the controller/driver according to claim 1, wherein **Chadha** teaches said image data includes compressed image data (e.g. GIF image file; Col. 2, [0033], FIG. 3).

Regarding Claim 7, (Currently Amended) the controller/driver according to claim 1, **Chadha** teaches further comprising:

a controller controlling said work memory, said display memory, and said driver circuit so that said data transfer of said first bitmap data from said work memory to said display memory is synchronous with readout of said second bitmap data from said display memory to said driver circuit (i.e. **multi-buffer scheme, very little time**, [0037]; i.e. **602 < 604, R/r generation to create a complete display**, [0038], FIG. 6 which implicitly implied that data bits are transferred synchronously).

Regarding Claim 8, (Original) the controller/driver according to claim 7, wherein **Chadha** teaches said data transfer of said first bitmap data from said work memory to said display memory is initiated in response to activation of a frame synchronization signal indicating to start displaying each image frame ([0076], FIG. 13).

Regarding Claim 18, (Currently Amended) the controller/driver according to claim 1, wherein **Chadha** teaches said controller/driver controls and drives a display panel, the controller/driver further comprising:

a memory controller (i.e. **a memory mapped address space mapped**, [0036], FIG. 5) that controls said work memory, said display memory and said driver circuit, said memory controller being directly connected to a processor (i.e. **200**, [0036], FIG. 5) for receiving additional bitmap data (i.e. **500**, [0036], FIG. 5) to be displayed on said display panel, said additional bitmap data not being stored at any time in said work memory ([0036], FIG. 5),

wherein said second bitmap data corresponds to an image and that can be directly used to display the image on said display panel ([0040], FIG. 6), said second bitmap data corresponding to said first bitmap data provided from said work memory together with said additional bitmap data provided from said memory controller ([0036], [0040], FIGs. 5 & 6), and

wherein said display memory is directly connected to said work memory (FIG. 6).

4. Claims **6**, **9-12**, and **19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Chadha (US PGPub. No. 2003/0184552)** in view of **Adachi (US Patent No. 5636336)** and further in view of **Yamazaki et al. (US Patent No. 5726947)**.

Regarding Claim **6**, (Currently Amended) the controller/driver according to claim 1,

wherein **Chadha** said display memory receives said line data from said latch at a same moment in time (i.e. **multi-buffer scheme, very little time, [0037]; i.e. 602 < 604, R/r generation to create a complete display, [0038], FIG. 6** which implicitly implied that data bits are transferred synchronously).

However, **Chadha** and **Adachi** do not teach a latch receiving said line data from said work memory and display memory receiving said line data from said latch.

In the same field of endeavor, **Yamazaki et al.** further teach:

a latch receiving said line data from said work memory, and temporally storing said received line data (Col. 2, Ln. **43-45**, FIG. 25),

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine **Chadha** and **Adachi** teachings of first bitmap data including a plurality of line data and data transfer of said first bitmap data from said work memory to said display memory performing such that each of said line data transferring at the same time with **Yamazaki et al.** teaching of a latch receiving said line data from said work memory and display memory receives said line data from said latch *in order to benefit of* reducing power consumption and latency.

Regarding Claim 9, (Original) **Chadha** and **Adachi** teaches the controller/driver according to claim 7.

However, **Chadha** and **Adachi** do not teach said controller controls said display memory, and said driver circuit so that said data transfer of said first bitmap data from said work memory to said display memory does not overrun said readout of said second bitmap data from said display memory to said driver circuit.

In the same field of endeavor, **Yamazaki et al.** teach said controller controls said display memory, and said driver circuit so that said data transfer of said first bitmap data from said work memory to said display memory does not overrun said readout of said second bitmap data from said display memory to said driver circuit (Col. 3, Ln. 27-47, FIG. 26).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine **Chadha** and **Adachi** teachings of a controller controlling said work memory, said display memory, and said driver circuit so that said data transfer of said first bitmap data from said work memory to said display memory is synchronous with readout of said second bitmap data from said display memory to said driver circuit with **Yamazaki et al.** teaching of the controller controls said display memory, and said driver circuit so that said data transfer of said first bitmap data from said work memory to said display memory does not overrun said readout of said second bitmap data from said display memory to said driver circuit *in order to benefit of* reducing power consumption and latency.

Regarding Claim 10, (Original) **Chadha** and **Adachi** teach the controller/driver according to claim 1.

However, **Chadha** and **Adachi** do not teach the internal structures of work and display memories and connections between them.

In the same field of endeavor, **Yamazaki et al.** further teach wherein said work memory includes:

a plurality of first bit lines, a plurality of first word lines (Col. 7, Ln. 4-5, FIG. 1),
and

a plurality of first memory cells disposed at respective intersections of said first bit lines and first word lines to store therein said first bitmap data (Col. 7, Ln. 5-6),

wherein said display memory includes:

a plurality of second bit lines, a plurality of second word lines (Col. 7, Ln. 7-9, FIG. 1), and

a plurality of second memory cells disposed at respective intersections of said second bit lines and second word lines to store therein said second bitmap data (Col. 7, Ln. 9-10, FIG. 1),

wherein a number of said first bit lines is same as that of said second bit lines (Col. 7, Ln. 4-8, FIG. 1), and

wherein said first bit lines are connected to said second bit lines, respectively (Col. 7, Ln. 12-20, FIG. 1).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine **Chadha** and **Adachi** teachings of a controller/driver

comprising of the graphic engine, work memory, display memory, driver circuit, and of connections among the graphic engine, work memory, display memory, and driver circuit with **Yamazaki et al.** teaching of the internal structures of the work and display memories in order to benefit of reducing power consumption and latency by having a controller/driver comprising of the graphic engine, work memory, display memory, driver circuit, of connections among the graphic engine, work memory, display memory, and driver circuit, and the internal structures of the work and display memories.

Regarding Claim 11, (Original) the controller/driver according to claim 10, wherein **Yamazaki et al.** teach a number of said first word lines is identical to that of said second word lines (Col. 7, Ln. 4-8, FIG. 1).

Regarding Claim 12, (Previously Presented) the controller/driver according to claim 10, **Yamazaki et al.** further teach

a timing controller controlling said work memory, and said display memory, and said driver circuit (Col. 1, Ln. 23-40, FIG. 26),

wherein said driver circuit is connected to said second bit lines (i.e. bus RAM 32a or 32; Col. 3, Ln. 39-41, FIGs. 25 & 26), and

wherein said timing controller is adapted to deactivate said display memory to allow said first bitmap data to be transmitted from said work memory to said driver circuit through said second bit lines (Col. 3, Ln. 39-44, FIG. 26).

Regarding Claim 19, (Previously Presented) the controller/driver according to claim 1, **Yamazaki et al.** further teach:

a latch receiving said first bitmap data from said work memory, and temporally storing said first bitmap data (Col. 2, Ln. 43-53, FIG. 25); and

a timing controller for controlling output of data from said latch, wherein said display memory receives said first bitmap data output from said latch (Col. 23, Ln. 31-41, FIG. 17),

wherein said work memory and said display memory are operated at different times due to having said latch provided therebetween (Col. 23, Ln. 31-41, FIG. 17).

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Chadha (US PGPub. No. 2003/0184552)** in view of **Adachi (US Patent No. 5636336)** and further in view of **Patrick et al. (US Patent No. 5644758)**.

Regarding Claim 20, (Previously Presented) the controller/driver according to claim 1, **Chadha** further teaches:

means for transferring said first bitmap data from said work memory to said display memory ([0037], FIG. 6); and

means for displaying said second bitmap data output from said display memory on said display panel ([0037], FIG. 6).

However, **Chadha** and **Adachi** do not teach a first rate at which said first bitmap data is transferred from said work memory to said display memory is faster than a second rate at which said second bitmap data is output from said display memory for display on said display panel.

In the same field of endeavor, **Patrick et al.** further teach a first rate at which said first bitmap data is transferred from said work memory to said display memory is faster than a second rate at which said second bitmap data is output from said display memory for display on said display panel (Col. 2, Ln. 1-10).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine **Chadha** and **Adachi** teachings of a controller/driver comprising of the graphic engine, work memory, display memory, driver circuit, and of connections among the graphic engine, work memory, display memory, and driver circuit with **Patrick et al.** teaching of a first rate at which said first bitmap data is transferred from said work memory to said display memory is faster than a second rate at which said second bitmap data is output from said display memory for display on said display panel *in order to benefit of* reducing the power consumption and latency.

Response to Arguments/Amendments/Remarks

6. Applicant's arguments, see Page(s) 8-9 filed 09/29/2009, with respect to 35 U.S.C § 112 1ST & 2ND ¶ have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Rejections of 35 U.S.C § 112 1ST & 2ND ¶ has been withdrawn.

7. Applicant's arguments, see Page(s) 9-12 filed 09/29/2009, with respect to 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are **NOT** persuasive.

Applicant argues that the references do not teach all the limitations of newly amended Claims 1, 6, 7, and 18. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees

because all the limitations of newly amended claims are taught by the references and cited accordingly as shown above.

8. Claims **4 & 5** are canceled.
9. Claims **13-17** are withdrawn.

Conclusion

The prior art(s) made of record and not relied upon (is)/are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Katsura; Koyo et al. (US Patent No. 5751930).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **VINH T. LAM** whose telephone number is (571)270-3704. The examiner can normally be reached on **M-F (7:00-4:30) EST**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on (571) 272-7674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vinh T Lam/
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Amare Mengistu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629